



150 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2100

Chicago, IL 60606

(p) 312.580 1020, (f) 312.580.9696

hfzlaw.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 20 2006

December 20, 2006

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION SHEET

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Serial No. 09/883,546
Filed: June 18, 2001
Art Unit: 2623
Examiner: Annan Q. Shang

CLIENT NO.: 20004/42US
MATTER NO.:
COUNTRY CODE:
EXTENSION:

FAX NO.: 571-273-8300

FROM: Mark C. Zimmerman

PAGES: 3
(INCLUDING THIS PAGE)

PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT: X

MESSAGE: Please see the attached Interview Summary for Serial No. 09/883,546 in the above-referenced application. Also, please confirm receipt of these instructions.

Please contact Delia Dominguez at (312) 580-1020 if you do not receive all of the pages in good condition.

The material of this transmission contains confidential information intended only for the addressee. If you are not the addressee, any disclosure or use of this information by you is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately.

beyondINNOVATION

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 20 2006

PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 20004/42US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant	: Paul Donato)	I hereby certify that this paper is
)	being facsimile transmitted to
U.S. Serial No.	: 09/883,546)	(571) 273-8300 on this date:
)	
Filed	: June 18, 2001)	Dated: December 20, 2006
)	
Title	: METHODS OF PROMPTING)	
	AUDIENCE MEMBERS IN)	
	AN AUDIENCE)	
	IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM)	
)	Mark C. Zimmerman
)	Registration No. 44,006
)	Attorney for Applicant
Assignee	: Nielsen Media Research, Inc.)	
)	
Art Unit	: 2623)	
)	
Examiner	: Annan Q. Shang)	

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The undersigned is supervising Peter Melsa's work on the above referenced application. Per Mr. Melsa's discussions with Examiner Annan Q. Shang of December 20, 2006, I am writing this interview summary to clarify the record.

On reviewing the Office action of October 31, 2006, it was determined that the Office action did not address all of the pending claims. In particular, although the Office action discusses claims 1-181, it does not address new claims 182-193, which were added via the preliminary amendment mailed August 2, 2006. Moreover, the discussion of claims 134-181 reflect that these claims contain dependencies to new claims 182, 184, and 186.

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****DEC 20 2006****PATENT**

Attorney Docket No.: 20004/42US

On December 12, 2006, Mr. Melsa telephoned Examiner Shang to discuss these circumstances and request a complete Office action. Examiner Shang returned the call on December 12, 2006 and indicated that he would investigate the matter.

On December 20, 2006, Mr. Melsa discussed the status of the matter with Examiner Shang. During that discussion, Examiner Shang indicated that a supplemental Office action would be issued and that the period for response would be re-started from the mailing date of the new action.

We are filing this interview summary to make the record clear that the Office action of October 31, 2006, was withdrawn, that no response to that Office action is due, and that the timeframe for response to the October 31, 2006, Office action has been tolled.

The undersigned hereby thanks Examiner Shang for his courtesy and professionalism in handling this matter in a manner to ensure that this situation is properly and promptly resolved.

Respectfully submitted,

HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC.
Suite 2100
150 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 580-1020

By: 

Mark C. Zimmerman
Registration No. 44,006

December 20, 2006